

Exercises from *Real Mathematical Analysis* by Charles Pugh

Exercise 2.12a Let (p_n) be a sequence and $f : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$. The sequence $(q_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ with $q_k = p_{f(k)}$ is called a rearrangement of (p_n) . Show that if f is an injection, the limit of a sequence is unaffected by rearrangement.

Proof. Let $\varepsilon > 0$. Since $p_n \rightarrow L$, we have that, for all n except $n \leq N$, $d(p_n, L) < \varepsilon$. Let $S = \{n \mid f(n) \leq N\}$, let n_0 be the largest $n \in S$, we know there is such a largest n because $f(n)$ is injective. Now we have that $\forall n > n_0, f(n) > N$ which implies that $p_{f(n)} \rightarrow L$, as required. \square

Exercise 2.26 Prove that a set $U \subset M$ is open if and only if none of its points are limits of its complement.

Proof. Assume that none of the points of U are limits of its complement, and let us prove that U is open. Assume by contradiction that U is not open, so there exists $p \in M$ so that $\forall r > 0$ there exists $q \in M$ with $d(p, q) < r$ but $q \notin U$. Applying this to $r = 1/n$ we obtain $q_n \in U^c$ such that $d(q_n, p) < 1/n$. But then $q_n \rightarrow p$ and p is a limit of a sequence of points in U^c , a contradiction.

Assume now that U is open. Assume by contradiction there exists $p \in U$ and $p_n \in U^c$ such that $p_n \rightarrow p$. Since U is open, there exists $r > 0$ such that $d(p, x) < r$ for $x \in M$ implies $x \in U$. But since $p_n \rightarrow p$, there exists $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $n \geq n_0$ implies $d(p_n, p) < r$, therefore $p_n \in U$ for $n \geq n_0$, a contradiction since $p_n \in U^c$. \square

Exercise 2.29 Let \mathcal{T} be the collection of open subsets of a metric space M , and \mathcal{K} the collection of closed subsets. Show that there is a bijection from \mathcal{T} onto \mathcal{K} .

Proof. The bijection given by $x \mapsto X^c$ suffices. \square

Exercise 2.32a Show that every subset of \mathbb{N} is clopen.

Proof. 32. The one-point set $\{n\} \subset \mathbb{N}$ is open, since it contains all $m \in \mathbb{N}$ that satisfy $d(m, n) < \frac{1}{2}$. Every subset of \mathbb{N} is a union of one-point sets, hence is open. Then every set is closed, since its complement is necessarily open. \square

Exercise 2.41 Let $\|\cdot\|$ be any norm on \mathbb{R}^m and let $B = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^m : \|x\| \leq 1\}$. Prove that B is compact.

Proof. Let us call $\|\cdot\|_E$ the Euclidean norm in \mathbb{R}^m . We start by claiming that there exist constants $C_1, C_2 > 0$ such that

$$C_1\|x\|_E \leq \|x\| \leq C_2\|x\|_E, \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^m.$$

Assuming (1) to be true, let us finish the problem. First let us show that B is bounded w.r.t. d_E , which is how we call the Euclidean distance in \mathbb{R}^m . Indeed, given $x \in B$, $\|x\|_E \leq \frac{1}{C_1}\|x\| \leq \frac{1}{C_1}$. Hence $B \subset \left\{x \in \mathbb{R}^m : d_E(x, 0) < \frac{1}{C_1} + 1\right\}$, which means B is bounded w.r.t. d_E . Now let us show that B is closed w.r.t. d_E . Let $x_n \rightarrow x$ w.r.t. d_E , where $x_n \in B$. Notice that this implies that $x_n \rightarrow x$ w.r.t. $d(x, y) = \|x - y\|$, the distance coming from $\|\cdot\|$, since by (1) we have

$$d(x_n, x) = \|x_n - x\| \leq C_2\|x_n - x\|_E \rightarrow 0.$$

Also, notice that

$$\|x\| \leq \|x_n - x\| + \|x_n\| \leq \|x_n - x\| + 1,$$

hence passing to the limit we obtain that $\|x\| \leq 1$, therefore $x \in B$ and so B is closed w.r.t. d_E . Since B is closed and bounded w.r.t. d_E , it must be compact. Now we claim that the identity function, $id : (\mathbb{R}^m, d_E) \rightarrow (\mathbb{R}^m, d)$ where (\mathbb{R}^m, d_E) means we are using the distance d_E in \mathbb{R}^m and (\mathbb{R}^m, d) means we are using the distance d in \mathbb{R}^m , is a homeomorphism. This follows by (1), since id is always a bijection, and it is continuous and its inverse is continuous by (1) (if $x_n \rightarrow x$ w.r.t. d_E , then $x_n \rightarrow x$ w.r.t. d and vice-versa, by (1)). By a result we saw in class, since B is compact in (\mathbb{R}^m, d_E) and id is a homeomorphism, then $id(B) = B$ is compact w.r.t. d .

We are left with proving (1). Notice that it suffices to prove that $C_1 \leq \|x\| \leq C_2, \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^m$ with $\|x\|_E = 1$. Indeed, if this is true, given $x \in \mathbb{R}^m$, either $\|x\|_E = 0$ (which implies $x = 0$ and (1) holds in this case), or $x/\|x\|_E = y$ is such that $\|y\|_E = 1$, so $C_1 \leq \|y\| \leq C_2$, which implies $C_1\|x\|_E \leq \|x\| \leq C_2\|x\|_E$. We want to show now that $\|\cdot\|$ is continuous w.r.t. d_E , that is, given $\varepsilon > 0$ and $x \in \mathbb{R}^m$, there exists $\delta > 0$ such that if $d_E(x, y) < \delta$, then $|\|x\| - \|y\|| < \varepsilon$.

By the triangle inequality, $\|x\| - \|y\| \leq \|x - y\|$, and $\|y\| - \|x\| \leq \|x - y\|$, therefore

$$|\|x\| - \|y\|| \leq \|x - y\|.$$

Writing now $x = \sum_{i=1}^m a_i e_i, y = \sum_{i=1}^m b_i e_i$, where $e_i = (0, \dots, 1, 0, \dots, 0)$ (with 1 in the i -th component), we obtain by the triangle inequality,

$$\begin{aligned} \|x - y\| &= \left\| \sum_{i=1}^m (a_i - b_i) e_i \right\| \leq \sum_{i=1}^m |a_i - b_i| \|e_i\| \leq \max_{i=1, \dots, m} \|e_i\| \sum_{i=1}^m |a_i - b_i| \\ &= \max_{i=1, \dots, m} \|e_i\| d_{sum}(x, y) \leq \max_{i=1, \dots, m} \|e_i\| m d_{\max}(x, y) \\ &\leq \max_{i=1, \dots, m} \|e_i\| m d_E(x, y). \end{aligned}$$

Let $\delta = \frac{\varepsilon}{m \max_{i=1, \dots, m} \|e_i\|}$. Then if $d_E(x, y) < \delta$, $\|x\| - \|y\| < \varepsilon$. Since $\|\cdot\|$ is continuous w.r.t. d_E and $K = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^m : \|x\|_E = 1\}$ is compact w.r.t. d_E , then the function $\|\cdot\|$ achieves a maximum and a minimum value on K . Call $C_1 = \min_{x \in K} \|x\|$, $C_2 = \max_{x \in K} \|x\|$. Then

$$C_1 \leq \|x\| \leq C_2, \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^m \text{ such that } \|x\|_E = 1,$$

which is what we needed. \square

Exercise 2.46 Assume that A, B are compact, disjoint, nonempty subsets of M . Prove that there are $a_0 \in A$ and $b_0 \in B$ such that for all $a \in A$ and $b \in B$ we have $d(a_0, b_0) \leq d(a, b)$.

Proof. Let A and B be compact, disjoint and non-empty subsets of M . We want to show that there exist $a_0 \in A, b_0 \in B$ such that for all $a \in A, b \in B$,

$$d(a_0, b_0) \leq d(a, b).$$

We saw in class that the distance function $d : M \times M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is continuous. We also saw in class that any continuous, real-valued function assumes maximum and minimum values on a compact set. Since A and B are compact, $A \times B$ is (non-empty) compact in $M \times M$. Therefore there exists $(a_0, b_0) \in A \times B$ such that $d(a_0, b_0) \leq d(a, b), \forall (a, b) \in A \times B$. \square

Exercise 2.57 Show that if S is connected, it is not true in general that its interior is connected.

Proof. Consider $X = \mathbb{R}^2$ and

$$A = ([-2, 0] \times [-2, 0]) \cup ([0, 2] \times [0, 2])$$

which is connected, while $\text{int}(A)$ is not connected. To see this consider the continuous function $f : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is defined by $f(x, y) = x + y$. Let $U = f^{-1}(0, +\infty)$ which is open in \mathbb{R}^2 and so $U \cap \text{int}(A)$ is open in $\text{int}(A)$. Also, since $(0, 0) \notin \text{int}(A)$, so for all $(x, y) \in \text{int}(A)$, $f(x, y) \neq 0$ and $U \cap \text{int}(A) = f^{-1}(0, +\infty) \cap \text{int}(A)$ is closed in $\text{int}(A)$. Furthermore, $(1, 1) = f^{-1}(2) \in U \cap \text{int}(A)$ shows that $U \cap \text{int}(A) \neq \emptyset$ while $(-1, -1) \in \text{int}(A)$ and $(-1, -1) \notin U$ shows that $U \cap \text{int}(A) \neq \text{int}(A)$. \square

Exercise 2.92 Give a direct proof that the nested decreasing intersection of nonempty covering compact sets is nonempty.

Proof. Let

$$A_1 \supset A_2 \supset \dots \supset A_n \supset \dots$$

be a nested decreasing sequence of compacts. Suppose that $\bigcap A_n = \emptyset$. Take $U_n = A_n^c$, then

$$\bigcup U_n = \bigcup A_n^c = \left(\bigcap A_n \right)^c = A_1^c.$$

Here, I'm thinking of A_1 as the main metric space. Since $\{U_n\}$ is an open covering of A_1 , we can extract a finite subcovering, that is,

$$A_{\alpha_1}^c \cup A_{\alpha_2}^c \cup \dots \cup A_{\alpha_m}^c \supset A_1$$

or

$$(A_1 \setminus A_{\alpha_1}) \cup (A_1 \setminus A_{\alpha_2}) \cup \dots \cup (A_1 \setminus A_{\alpha_m}) \supset A_1.$$

But, this is true only if $A_{\alpha_i} = \emptyset$ for some i , a contradiction. \square

Exercise 2.126 Suppose that E is an uncountable subset of \mathbb{R} . Prove that there exists a point $p \in \mathbb{R}$ at which E condenses.

Proof. I think this is the proof by contrapositive that you were getting at. Suppose that E has no limit points at all. Pick an arbitrary point $x \in E$. Then x cannot be a limit point, so there must be some $\delta > 0$ such that the ball of radius δ around x contains no other points of E :

$$B_\delta(x) \cap E = \{x\}$$

Call this "point 1". For the next point, take the closest element to x and on its left; that is, choose the point

$$\max[E \cap (-\infty, x)]$$

if it exists (that is important - if not, skip to the next step). Note that by the argument above, this supremum, should it exist, cannot equal x and is therefore a new point in E .

Call this "point 2". Now take the first point to the right of x for "point 3". Take the first point to the left of point 2 for "point 4". And so on, ad infinitum.

This gives a countable list of unique points; we must show that it exhausts the entire set E . Suppose not. Suppose there is some element $a < x$ which is never included in the list (picking a on the negative side of x is arbitrary, and the same argument would work for the second case). Then the element closest and to the right of a in E (which exists, by the no-limit-points argument at the beginning) is also not in the list; if it was, a would have been in one of the next two spots. And same with that point (call it a_1); there is a closest $a_2 > a_1 \in E$ such that a_2 is not in the list. Repeating, we generate an infinite monotone-increasing sequence $\{a_i\}$ of elements in E and not in the list, which is clearly bounded above by x . By the Monotone Convergence Theorem this sequence has a limit. But that means the sequence $\{a_i\} \subset E$ converges to a limit, and hence E has a limit point, contradicting the assumption. Therefore our list exhausts E , and we have enumerated all its elements. \square

Exercise 3.1 Assume that $f: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ satisfies $|f(t) - f(x)| \leq |t - x|^2$ for all t, x . Prove that f is constant.

Proof. We have $|f(t) - f(x)| \leq |t - x|^2, \forall t, x \in \mathbb{R}$. Fix $x \in \mathbb{R}$ and let $t \neq x$. Then

$$\left| \frac{f(t) - f(x)}{t - x} \right| \leq |t - x|, \text{ hence } \lim_{t \rightarrow x} \left| \frac{f(t) - f(x)}{t - x} \right| = 0,$$

so f is differentiable in \mathbb{R} and $f' = 0$. This implies that f is constant, as seen in class. \square

Exercise 3.4 Prove that $\sqrt{n+1} - \sqrt{n} \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Proof.

$$\sqrt{n+1} - \sqrt{n} = \frac{(\sqrt{n+1} - \sqrt{n})(\sqrt{n+1} + \sqrt{n})}{\sqrt{n+1} + \sqrt{n}} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n+1} + \sqrt{n}} < \frac{1}{2\sqrt{n}}$$

\square

Exercise 3.63a Prove that $\sum 1/k(\log(k))^p$ converges when $p > 1$.

Proof. Using the integral test, for a set a , we see

$$\lim_{b \rightarrow \infty} \int_a^b \frac{1}{x \log(x)^c} dx = \lim_{b \rightarrow \infty} \left(\frac{\log(b)^{1-c}}{1-c} - \frac{\log(a)^{1-c}}{1-c} \right)$$

which goes to infinity if $c \leq 1$ and converges if $c > 1$. Thus,

$$\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n \log(n)^c}$$

converges if and only if $c > 1$. \square

Exercise 3.63b Prove that $\sum 1/k(\log(k))^p$ diverges when $p \leq 1$.

Proof. Using the integral test, for a set a , we see

$$\lim_{b \rightarrow \infty} \int_a^b \frac{1}{x \log(x)^c} dx = \lim_{b \rightarrow \infty} \left(\frac{\log(b)^{1-c}}{1-c} - \frac{\log(a)^{1-c}}{1-c} \right)$$

which goes to infinity if $c \leq 1$ and converges if $c > 1$. Thus,

$$\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n \log(n)^c}$$

converges if and only if $c > 1$. \square

Exercise 4.15a A continuous, strictly increasing function $\mu: (0, \infty) \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ is a modulus of continuity if $\mu(s) \rightarrow 0$ as $s \rightarrow 0$. A function $f: [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ has modulus of continuity μ if $|f(s) - f(t)| \leq \mu(|s - t|)$ for all $s, t \in [a, b]$. Prove that a function is uniformly continuous if and only if it has a modulus of continuity.

Proof. Suppose there exists a modulus of continuity w for f , then fix $\varepsilon > 0$, since $\lim_{s \rightarrow 0} w(s) = 0$, there exists $\delta > 0$ such that for any $|s| < \delta$, we have $w(s) < \varepsilon$, then we have for any $x, z \in X$ such that $d_X(x, z) < \delta$, we have $d_Y(f(x), f(z)) \leq w(d_X(x, z)) < \varepsilon$, which means f is uniformly continuous.

Suppose $f: (X, d_X) \rightarrow (Y, d_Y)$ is uniformly continuous. Let $\delta_1 > 0$ be such that $d_X(a, b) < \delta_1$ implies $d_Y(f(a), f(b)) < 1$. Define $w: [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty]$ by

$$w(s) = \begin{cases} \sup \{d_Y(f(a), f(b)) \mid d_X(a, b) \leq s\} & \text{if } s \leq \delta_1 \\ \infty & \text{if } s > \delta_1 \end{cases}$$

We'll show that w is a modulus of continuity for f ... By definition of w , it's immediate that $w(0) = 0$ and it's clear that

$$d_Y(f(a), f(b)) \leq w(d_X(a, b))$$

for all $a, b \in X$. It remains to show $\lim_{s \rightarrow 0^+} w(s) = 0$. It's easily seen that w is nonnegative and non-decreasing, hence $\lim_{s \rightarrow 0^+} w(s) = L$ for some $L \geq 0$, where $L = \inf w((0, \infty))$. Let $\epsilon > 0$. By uniform continuity of f , there exists $\delta > 0$ such that $d_X(a, b) < \delta$ implies $d_Y(f(a), f(b)) < \epsilon$, hence by definition of w , we get $w(\delta) \leq \epsilon$. Thus $L \leq \epsilon$ for all $\epsilon > 0$, hence $L = 0$. This completes the proof. \square